



## Louisville/Jefferson County Metro Government Solid Waste Management District

600 Meriwether Avenue, Louisville, Kentucky 40217

**Robert Schindler**

Board Chair

**Keith Hackett**

Executive Director

### **Service Level Standards Sub-Committee Meeting Minutes SWMS, 600 Meriwether Avenue, Louisville Kentucky 40217**

**June 19, 2019**

Meeting was called to order at 3:15 p.m. by Pete Flood.

Members in attendance: Sarah Lynn Cunningham, Sandra Leonard, Matt Smith and Greg Zahradnik.

Staff in attendance: Pete Flood, Rob Lush, Keith Hackett, Karen Maynard and Amy Axsom.

**May 15, 2019** meeting minutes could not be approved due to chairman not in attendance and no vice chair has been elected.

#### **Old Business:**

1. Discuss draft recommendation for "ICI Standards for Signage and Adequate Infrastructure":

- Mr. Flood handed out a written recommendation for review in each member packet. An informational sheet on the wording to use was included from the exact wording from the study. Examples of other cities websites was also included to discuss due to these cities have already done exactly what we are trying to do. Also listed is revised wording for the draft recommendation which is to be discussed before implementation to present to the board. Asking members to look at cities listed on handout to see how they did it.
- Members went through the cost estimates from the study to see if they are in agreement.
- Mr. Flood went ahead and pulled up the Austin, Texas website to review their full built out program that we could model. They have various links in their pages, such as for education (letters, posters, etc.) and also, they have calculators to use for diversion. Chicago, Illinois and Boulder, Colorado was also included to view. Keith Hackett feels we should focus on Austin, Texas because they would be the most compatible due to population size and we already have a relationship with them.
- Members agreed there should be some space within the sticker logo for haulers to put their own imprint on. There should also be consistent messaging there such as a common theme, i.e. symbol, color, etc. regardless of which hauler is using it.
- Implementation could be slower, and a "phase-in" period will need to take place.
- Mr. Flood reviewed the wording to program cost estimates and noted there were no changes

recommended. After discussion took place among members, they agreed it should state that the hauler may pass the cost to customers instead of would pass.

- The pros and cons were reviewed, and a few were added in. Additional pro would be potential long-term reduction in cost. Additional cons were recycling commodity markets will change and logistical challenges faced by ICI Stakeholders such as waste or recycling containers, space for containers and method of collection.
- Wording under estimated timeframe was changed to say, “could require several years”.
- Mr. Flood asked members to look through each one that he’s sending on email and say which one you like and dislike, any suggestions for different language, then members will come back to a final document at next meeting to take to the full committee.

## 2. Discuss findings of impact effort matrix

- Mr. Flood posed the question to members to decide if another recommendation should be picked that is a quick accomplish. Members asked for project managements advice on which one. Mr. Flood says #4 because we just worked on the commercial side of it, just adapt it to residential- multi family.
- Members decided its currently at a more complicated level. Probably best to finish it and move on. Comment made that it’s not that easy- standard level of service is the significant change. Who it will impact would be the major change.
- Question raised by member as to why we are picking out a second recommendation when subcommittee has not worked on first one enough yet? Response is that the subcommittee needs to go ahead and draft a standard on a second, while waiting for the approval process from board for the first one, this one can already be in the works.

## Public Input

1. No public input received.

Meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

Minutes approved by:

---

Chairman

---

Date